

LIFTS OF POINTS ON CURVES AND EXPONENTIAL SUMS.

RÉGIS BLACHE

Équipe “Algèbre Arithmétique et Applications”
 Université Antilles Guyane
 Campus de Fouillole
 97159 Pointe à Pitre CEDEX - FWI
 Email : rblache@univ-ag.fr

ABSTRACT. We give bounds for exponential sums over curves defined over Galois rings. We first define summation subsets as the images of lifts of points from affine opens of the reduced curve, and give bounds for the degrees of their coordinate functions. Then we get bounds for exponential sums, extending results of Kumar et al., Winnie Li over the projective line, and Voloch-Walker over elliptic curves and C_{ab} curves.

0. INTRODUCTION

Character sums estimates over finite fields have been widely studied since Gauss. During the last decade, coding theorists initiated the study of a new type of sums, over points with coordinates in a p -adic field. The first result in this direction was the generalisation of the Weil-Carlitz-Uchiyama bound. Let $f \in \mathcal{O}_m[X]$ be a polynomial over the ring of integers of K_m , the unramified extension of degree m of the field of p -adic numbers $\mathbb{Q}_p$, $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}^* \cup \{0\}$ the Teichmüller of $\mathcal{O}_m$, where $\mathcal{T}^*$ is the multiplicative subgroup of elements of order prime to p in $\mathcal{O}_m^*$; if we write the p -adic expansion of f as $f_0 + pf_1 + \dots + p^{l-1}f_{l-1} + \dots$, $f_i \in \mathcal{T}[X]$, Kumar et al. (cf. [4]) gave a bound for the following exponential sum, where ψ is an additive character of order p^l of $\mathcal{O}_m$:

$$\left| \sum_{x \in \mathcal{T}} \psi(f(x)) \right| \leq \left(\max_{0 \leq i \leq l-1} (p^{l-1-i} \deg f_i) - 1 \right) p^{\frac{m}{2}}.$$

For convenience, we choose to work over “Galois rings”, i.e. the rings $R := \mathcal{O}_m/p^l\mathcal{O}_m := GR(p^l, m)$. Note that $\mathcal{T}$ is the image of a section of reduction modulo $p : R \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$. In other words, it is a “lift of points” from $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^m}}^1(\mathbb{F}_{p^m})$ to $\mathbb{A}_R^1(R)$. The purpose of this paper is to give bounds for analogous sums, defined on any smooth projective curve over R , not only the projective line.

To do so, we adopt the following point of view : let $w : R \rightarrow W_l(k)$ be the isomorphism between the Galois ring R and the ring of Witt vectors of length l with coefficients in $k := \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$. If C is a smooth projective curve over R , equipped with an embedding $C \subset \mathbb{P}_R^n$, let $U = \text{Spec} B \subset \mathbb{A}_R^n = \text{Spec} R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be an affine open of C of the form $C \setminus \{C \cap H\}$, with H an hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}_R^n$; its image by Greenberg’s functor is, roughly speaking, the subvariety $\mathcal{F}U \subset \mathbb{A}_k^{nl}$ whose points are the $(w(x_1), \dots, w(x_n)) \in k^{nl}$, where $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ describe the points of $U(R)$. We can define a “reduction morphism” $\rho_U : \mathcal{F}U \rightarrow U_k := U \otimes k = \text{Spec} B_0$ sending $(w(x_1), \dots, w(x_n))$ to $(\overline{x_1}, \dots, \overline{x_n})$, i.e. each $w(x_i)$ on its first coordinate, which is the image of x_i by reduction modulo p $R \rightarrow k$. Since U is smooth, there exists a

section σ of ρ_U and a morphism of R -algebras $\Gamma(s) : B \rightarrow W_l(B_0)$ associated to σ . Then $\sigma(U_k)(k) \subset \mathcal{F}U(k)$ corresponds to a subset $\mathcal{T}_\sigma \subset U(R)$, and if $f \in B$ is a regular function on U , we can rewrite (theorem 1.2) the character sum :

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{T}_\sigma} \psi(f(x)) = \sum_{x \in U_k(k)} \psi(\Gamma(s)(f)(x)).$$

To give a bound for this last sum, we use Artin-Schreier-Witt theory (the theory of abelian extensions of a field of characteristic p , whose exponent is a power of p) ; it allows us to associate an idele class character to a Witt vector of functions. From Riemann hypothesis for curves over a finite field (Weil's theorem), giving a bound for the last character sum reduces to the calculation of the conductor of such an idele class character, which depends on the pole order of the components of the Witt vector of functions $\Gamma(s)(f)$.

Let us see how this works if C is the projective line $\mathbb{P}_R^1$, and U the affine line $\mathbb{A}_R^1$. In this case, $\mathcal{F}U$ is affine l -space $\mathbb{A}_k^l$, and the reduction morphism is projection on the first coordinate $\mathbb{A}_k^l \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1$. Now since $w(\mathcal{T})$ is the set $\{(x, 0, \dots, 0), x \in k\}$, $\mathcal{T}$ corresponds to image of the section $\sigma : \mathbb{A}_k^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^l$ defined by $\sigma(x) = (x, 0, \dots, 0)$. The morphism $\Gamma(s) : R[X] \rightarrow W_l(k[X])$ is defined by $\Gamma(s)|_R = w$, and $\Gamma(s)(X) = (X, 0, \dots, 0)$. Finally, if $f = f_0 + \dots + p^{l-1}f_{l-1}$ is as above, a Witt vector calculation shows that the conductor of the idele class character associated to $\Gamma(s)(f)$ is at most $\max_i(p^{l-1-i} \deg f_i) + 1$, and we get the bound.

In the general case, the section σ is much harder to write down, and many efforts are devoted to make it as explicit as possible. Actually we give a bound for the pole orders of the “coordinate functions” of certain sections σ , i.e. the components $X_{i0}, X_{i1}, \dots, X_{il-1}$ of the vectors $\Gamma(s)(X_i)$: we show (theorem 2.1) that if $C_k = C \otimes k$ has genus g , and if D_0 is the intersection divisor of C_k with $H_0 \otimes k$ in $\mathbb{P}_k^n$, then there exists a section with X_{ij} having polar divisor less than $p^j(jD + (j+1)D_0)$, where D is a divisor of degree $2g - 2 + \lceil \frac{2g-1}{p} \rceil$ with support contained in that of D_0 . Similar calculations have been made in the case of elliptic and hyperelliptic curves by Finotti (cf [2]), and this result may be of independent interest from the rest of the article.

Let us say a few words about the bounds we get, and compare them with previously known results. As in Weil-Carlitz-Uchiyama bound, the conductor is a “weighted degree” of the pole orders of the functions in the p -adic expansion of the function, inflated by a term of order $2gp^{l-1}$ depending only on the genus of the reduced curve and on the ring R . This generalises known results : the case of projective line had been treated by Winnie Li (cf. [6]), who gives the exact degree of the conductor of the idele class character associated to additive or multiplicative characters and rational functions. Our method gives the same result in the case of additive characters (cf remark at the end of section 4) ; this shows that the bounds we give are tight with respect to the weighted degree of the pole orders. Other examples of such character sums in the case $l = 2$ can be found in [8], [9], respectively for elliptic and C_{ab} curves ; these results are particular cases of the ones we obtain. They are used to construct error correcting codes over Galois rings with good parameters. Note also that the Weil Carlitz Uchiyama bound allows the construction of large families of periodic sequences with low cross correlation.

The paper is organized as follows : in section 1 we show the existence of the sections described above, and show how they allow us to rewrite character sums (theorem 1.2) ; for certain of these sections, we give bounds for the pole orders of their coordinate functions in theorem 2.1 in section 2. Section 3 is independent from

the rest of the article ; we recall without proof some results of Artin Schreier Witt theory ; the main result is theorem 3.1, which gives bounds for exponential sums associated to a Witt vector of functions and an additive character of order a power of p . We suspect this result to be well known, but we didn't find any reference (theorem 3.1 in [9] covers only the case of functions having a single pole at a rational point). It reduces our bounds to the calculation of the reduced pole orders of certain Witt vectors, and this is done in section 4. In the last section, we give the bounds, first in the general case (theorem 5.1), then we give two corollaries in particular cases where the bounds are simpler.

NOTATIONS

We first recall notations about rings of Witt vectors ; let A be a ring of characteristic p : in the sequel, $W_l(A)$ denotes the ring of Witt vectors of length l with coefficients in the ring A . As usual,

$$V : W_l(A) \rightarrow W_l(A), \quad V(a_0, \dots, a_{l-1}) = (0, a_0, \dots, a_{l-2})$$

denotes the *Verschiebung*, and :

$$F : W_l(A) \rightarrow W_l(A), \quad F(a_0, \dots, a_{l-1}) = (a_0^p, \dots, a_{l-1}^p)$$

the Frobenius of $W_l(A)$. We recall the following relations, which we use in the sequel :

$$FVx = VFx = px ; \quad V^a x V^b y = V^{a+b} (F^b x F^a y) \text{ for all } x, y \in W_l(A), \quad a, b \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $R = GR(p^l, m)$ be a Galois ring with residue field $k = \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$, and $R_d = GR(p^l, md)$ its unramified extension of degree d , with residue field $k_d = \mathbb{F}_{p^{md}}$. Recall that there is a canonical isomorphism $w : R_d \rightarrow W_l(k_d)$. Recall that R_d^* has a subgroup of order $p^{md} - 1$: we denote it by $\mathcal{T}_d^*$; we also let $\mathcal{T}_d = \mathcal{T}_d^* \cup \{0\}$ and call it the “Teichmüller” of R_d . Note that via w , $\mathcal{T}_d$ corresponds to the elements of the form $(a, 0, \dots, 0)$, $a \in k_d$.

We will need the following easy lemma about valuations of sums and products of Witt vectors of functions in the function field $K(C_k)$ of a curve C_k over k :

Lemma 0.1. *Let $f = (f_0, \dots, f_{l-1})$, $g = (g_0, \dots, g_{l-1}) \in W_l(K(C_k))$, P a point of $C_k(\bar{k})$, and v_P the corresponding valuation. Set $a_i = v_P(f_i)$; $b_i = v_P(g_i)$; $0 \leq i \leq l-1$. Then*

i) if $h = (h_0, \dots, h_{l-1}) = f + g$, we have :

$$v_P(h_i) \geq \min_{0 \leq k \leq i} (p^{i-k} a_k, p^{i-k} b_k), \quad 0 \leq i \leq l-1.$$

ii) if $h = (h_0, \dots, h_{l-1}) = fg$, we have :

$$v_P(h_0) = a_0 + b_0, \quad v_P(h_i) \geq \min_{0 \leq j+k \leq i} (p^{i-j} a_j + p^{i-k} b_k), \quad 1 \leq i \leq l-1.$$

1. TEICHMÜLLER SUBSETS

We begin by recalling some facts about Greenberg's functor : the proofs can be found in [3]. To any k -scheme Y , we associate functorially a scheme WY over $\text{Spec} R$, with the same underlying topological space, and structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{WY} = W_l(\mathcal{O}_Y)$, defined for every U open in Y by :

$$\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_{WY}) = W_l(\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_Y)).$$

If X is a R -scheme, the functor associating $\text{Hom}_R(WY, X)$ to every k -scheme Y is representable by a k -scheme $\mathcal{F}X$: we have a functorial isomorphism :

$$\text{Hom}_R(WY, X) = \text{Hom}_k(Y, \mathcal{F}X).$$

Now Greenberg's functor is the functor $X \mapsto \mathcal{F}X$ from the category of R -schemes to the one of k -schemes. Note that we get a morphism λ_X corresponding to the identity of $\mathcal{F}X$ by the adjunction formula. Moreover, since we have $W\text{Spec}k_d = \text{Spec}R_d$ for any $d \geq 1$, this formula gives a bijection, we shall call *Greenberg's bijection* in the sequel :

$$X(R_d) = \mathcal{F}X(k_d),$$

between the set of R_d -points of X and the set of k_d -points of $\mathcal{F}X$.

We now describe the image of an affine R -scheme X under the functor $\mathcal{F}$, and explicit the morphism λ_X in this case. If $X = \mathbb{A}_R^n$ is affine n -space over R , we have $\mathcal{F}X = \mathbb{A}_k^{nl}$, the map $\lambda_X := \lambda$ is the morphism corresponding to the morphism of R -algebras :

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &: R[T_1, \dots, T_n] &\rightarrow W_l \left(k[T_i^j]_{1 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq j \leq l-1} \right) \\ \alpha \in R &&\mapsto w_l(\alpha) \\ T_i &&\mapsto (T_i^0, \dots, T_i^{l-1}). \end{aligned}$$

and Greenberg's bijection is just the map :

$$\begin{aligned} w_l \times \dots \times w_l &: \mathbb{A}_R^n(R_d) = R_d^n &\rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^{nl}(k_d) = k_d^{nl} \\ (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) &&\mapsto (w_l(\alpha_1), \dots, w_l(\alpha_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Let us write the image $\gamma(f)$ of a polynomial $f \in R[T_i]$. We first fix some notations. Let δ be the morphism :

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &: R[T_i] &\rightarrow W_l(k[T_i^0]) \\ T_i &&\mapsto (T_i^0, 0, \dots, 0) \end{aligned}$$

$\delta|_R = w_l$, and $U_i = (0, T_i^1, \dots, T_i^{l-1})$. Let $M = \{m_1, \dots, m_t\}$ denote a t -tuple of integers greater than 1 ; we set $|M| = m_1 + \dots + m_t$, $\#M = t$, and $M! = m_1! \dots m_t!$. If $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_t\}$ is a t -tuple of indexes in $\{1, \dots, n\}$, we set :

$$\frac{\partial^{|M|} f}{\partial T_J^M} = \frac{\partial^{|M|} f}{\partial T_{j_1}^{m_1} \dots \partial T_{j_t}^{m_t}} ; U_J^M = U_{j_1}^{m_1} \dots U_{j_t}^{m_t}.$$

Then we can write, for all $f \in R[T_i]$:

$$\gamma(f) = \delta(f) + \sum_{h=1}^{l-1} \sum_{\substack{M \\ |M|=h}} \sum_{\substack{J \\ \#J=\#M}} \delta \left(\frac{1}{M!} \frac{\partial^h f}{\partial T_J^M} \right) U_J^M.$$

Note that $\frac{1}{M!} \frac{\partial^h f}{\partial T_J^M}$ is again a polynomial in $R[T_i]$. Developing, we obtain another description for γ : if $\gamma(f) = (f_0, \dots, f_{l-1})$, we have :

$$f_0 = \bar{f}(x_i^0), \quad f_j = f^{(j)}(T_u^t) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial T_i} (T_i^0) \right)^{p^j} T_i^j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq l-1,$$

with $\bar{f}$ the reduction modulo p of f , and $f^{(j)}$ a polynomial in $k[T_u^t]_{1 \leq u \leq n, 0 \leq t \leq j-1}$.

Now let U be an affine R -scheme $U = \text{Spec}R[T_1, \dots, T_n]/I$, $I = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$. For every $1 \leq i \leq m$, set $\gamma(f_i) = (f_{i0}, \dots, f_{il-1})$; let I' be the ideal in $k[T_u^t]$ generated by the $f_{ij}, 1 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq l-1$, and $\pi_{I'}$ the surjection $k[T_u^t] \rightarrow k[T_u^t]/I'$. Clearly the morphism :

$$R[T_1, \dots, T_n] \xrightarrow{\gamma} W_l(k[T_u^t]) \xrightarrow{W_l(\pi_{I'})} W_l(k[T_u^t]/I'),$$

vanishes on I ; it induces a morphism $\gamma_U : R[T_1, \dots, T_n]/I \rightarrow W_l(k[T_u^t]/I')$. Now the k -scheme $\text{Spec}k[T_u^t]/I'$ is $\mathcal{F}U$, and λ_U is the morphism corresponding to γ_U .

In the sequel, we restrict our attention to the following situation : let $U = \text{Spec} B$ be a smooth affine R -scheme, and $U_k = U \otimes k = \text{Spec} B_0$; we denote by $\pi : U_k \rightarrow U$ the morphism induced by reduction modulo p (note that since U is a smooth R -scheme, B is a flat R -algebra and $B_0 = B \otimes k = B/pB$).

Let us construct a “reduction morphism” $\rho_U : \mathcal{F}U \rightarrow U_k$. Projection of Witt vectors on their first coordinate, p_0 , induces a morphism $\mu_{\mathcal{F}U} : \mathcal{F}U \rightarrow W\mathcal{F}U$. Composing with λ_U , we get a morphism $\mathcal{F}U \rightarrow U$, which factors to $\rho_U : \mathcal{F}U \rightarrow U_k$. It corresponds to the morphism of R -algebras $p_0 \circ \gamma_U$, which factors as :

$$B = R[T_1, \dots, T_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_m) \rightarrow B_0 \simeq k[T_1^0, \dots, T_n^0]/(\bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_m) \hookrightarrow k[T_i^j]/I_{\mathcal{F}U},$$

and the last inclusion is the morphism $\Gamma(\rho_U)$. Note that if $(a_1^0, \dots, a_n^{l-1})$ is a point of $\mathcal{F}U$, ρ_U sends it to $(a_1^0, \dots, a_n^0)$: it acts as reduction modulo p of the coordinates, seen as Witt vectors.

We are interested in constructing sections of ρ_U . Note that such a section gives a lifting of the Frobenius morphism from U_k to U ; if C is a smooth projective curve over R , with C_k of genus at least 2, this is impossible due to a result of Raynaud ([7] I.5.4). That is the reason why we restrict our attention to an affine open U .

We have $WU_k = \text{Spec} W_l(B_0) : U_k$ is defined by a nilpotent sheaf of ideals in WU_k . Since U is smooth over R , the map $\text{Hom}_R(WU_k, U) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(U_k, U)$ induced by μ_{U_k} is surjective ([1] IV.17.1.1). Let s be an antecedent of $\pi : U_k \rightarrow U$. The adjunction formula now gives $\sigma \in \text{Hom}_k(U_k, \mathcal{F}U)$ such that $\lambda_U \circ W\sigma = s$.

Lemma 1.1. *The morphism σ is a section of ρ_U ; it is a closed immersion $U_k \rightarrow \mathcal{F}U$.*

Proof. Consider the following diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U_k & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathcal{F}U & \xrightarrow{\rho_U} & U_k \\ \mu_{U_k} \downarrow & & \mu_{\mathcal{F}U} \downarrow & & \pi \downarrow \\ WU_k & \xrightarrow{W\sigma} & W\mathcal{F}U & \xrightarrow{\lambda_U} & U \end{array}$$

The left hand square is cartesian from the definition of the functor W , and the right hand one by the construction of ρ_U . From the adjunction formula, we have $\lambda_U \circ W\sigma = s$, and $\pi = s \circ \mu_{U_k}$ since s is an antecedent of π ; thus we get $\lambda_U \circ W\sigma \circ \mu_{U_k} = \pi$. Summing up, we obtain $\pi \circ \rho_U \circ \sigma = \pi$, and since π is a closed immersion, we get the first claim of the lemma : $\rho_U \circ \sigma = \text{Id}_{U_k}$. The second assertion comes immediately from the first one. $\square$

Now we get a bijection $\sigma(U_k)(k) = U_k(k)$, and a bijection from $\sigma(U_k)(k) \subset \mathcal{F}U(k)$ to a subset of $U(R)$.

Definition : We call this subset the Teichmüller subset of $U(R)$ associated to the section σ , and denote it by $\mathcal{T}_\sigma$.

Example : The simplest case is $U = \mathbb{A}_R^1 = \text{Spec} R[T]$; here we have $\mathcal{F}U = \mathbb{A}_k^l = \text{Spec} k[T^0, \dots, T^{l-1}]$, ρ_U is the morphism $k[T^0] \hookrightarrow k[T^0, \dots, T^{l-1}]$, and $\sigma : k[T^0, \dots, T^{l-1}] \rightarrow k[T]$, $T^0 \mapsto T$, $T^i \mapsto 0$, $1 \leq i \leq l-1$ is a section of ρ_U ; the associated Teichmüller subset (via the identification $\mathbb{A}_R^1(R) = R$), is just the Teichmüller $\mathcal{T}$ of R .

Now we come to the main property of Teichmüller subsets ; they allow us to rewrite exponential sums :

Theorem 1.2. *Let $f \in B$ be a regular function over U , and ψ an additive character of $GR(p^l, m)$. We have the equality :*

$$\sum_{\Pi \in \mathcal{T}_\sigma} \psi(f(\Pi)) = \sum_{P \in U_k(k)} \psi(\Gamma(s)(f)(P)),$$

where $\Gamma(s)(f)(P) = (f_0(P), \dots, f_{l-1}(P))$ if $\Gamma(s)(f) = (f_0, \dots, f_{l-1})$

Proof. Let $P \in U_k(k)$, $\tilde{P} = \sigma P \in \mathcal{FU}(k)$, and $\Pi \in \mathcal{T}_\sigma$ the point corresponding to $\tilde{P}$ by Greenberg's bijection. Consider the following diagram (where $P, \tilde{P}, \Pi$ stand for the morphisms associated to the points $P, \tilde{P}, \Pi$) :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & B & & \\ & \swarrow \Gamma(s) & \downarrow \Gamma(\lambda_U) & \searrow \Gamma(\Pi) & \\ W_l(B_0) & \xleftarrow{W_l(\Gamma(\sigma))} & W_l(\Gamma(\mathcal{FU}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{FU}})) & & \\ & \searrow W_l(\Gamma(P)) & \downarrow W_l(\Gamma(\tilde{P})) & \nearrow w^{-1} & \\ & & W_l(k) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & R \end{array}$$

Since $\tilde{P} = \sigma P$, we have the equality of morphisms $\sigma \circ P = \tilde{P}$, that is $\Gamma(\tilde{P}) = \Gamma(P) \circ \Gamma(\sigma)$; moreover, since $\tilde{P}$ and Π correspond by Greenberg's bijection, the right-hand side of the diagram commutes. Thus the whole diagram commutes and we get :

$$\forall f \in B, \Gamma(s)(f)(P) = w(f(\Pi)).$$

Finally the two summation sets are in one to one correspondance, and the theorem is established. $\square$

2. THE DEGREES OF COORDINATE FUNCTIONS

In this section, we give a precise description of certain sections σ , when U is an affine open of a smooth curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}_R^n$.

Let C be a smooth, irreducible, geometrically connected curve over R , equipped with an embedding $C \subset \mathbb{P}_R^n = \text{Proj } R[X_0, \dots, X_n]$, and U the affine open $C \cap \{X_0 \neq 0\}$ of C . Recall that if C is defined in $\mathbb{P}_R^n$ by homogeneous polynomials $F_1, \dots, F_m$ with $\deg F_i = d_i$, U is defined in $\mathbb{A}_R^n = \text{Spec } R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ by $I = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$, $f_i = F_i/X_0^{d_i}$. We set $B = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$, and $B_0 = B \otimes k$ the ring of regular functions on $U_k = U \otimes k$.

Recall that the morphism $\Gamma(\rho_U) : B_0 \rightarrow k[x_i^j]_{1 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq j \leq l-1}/I_{\mathcal{FU}}$ is the injection $x_i \mapsto x_i^0$. Thus a morphism $\phi : k[x_i^j]_{1 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq j \leq l-1} \rightarrow B_0$ factors to a section σ of ρ_U if and only if $\phi(x_i^0) = x_i$ and it vanishes on $I_{\mathcal{FU}}$. Since the generators of $I_{\mathcal{FU}}$ are the components of the Witt vectors $\gamma(f_i)$, this last condition can be rewritten :

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\bar{f}_t(x_1^0, \dots, x_n^0)) &= 0 \quad 1 \leq t \leq m ; \\ \phi \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}_t}{\partial x_i^0} \right)^{p^j} x_i^j + f_t^{(j)} \right) &= 0 \quad 1 \leq t \leq m, \quad 1 \leq j \leq l-1. \end{aligned}$$

in B_0 . Now since $\phi(x_i^0) = x_i$, the first conditions are automatically satisfied, and, if we set $\phi(x_i^j) = x_{ij}$, we can rewrite the second ones in B_0 as :

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}_t}{\partial x_i} \right)^{p^j} x_{ij} + f_t^{(j)}(x_i, x_{i1}, \dots, x_{i,j-1}) = 0$$

Definition : If σ is the corresponding section of ρ_U , we call the (x_{ij}) the *coordinate functions* of the Teichmüller subset $\mathcal{T}_\sigma$.

We now give a bound for the pole orders of these functions.

Theorem 2.1. *Let C, U be as above, assume that C_k has genus g , and denote by D_0 the intersection divisor of C_k with the hyperplane $\{X_0 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}_k^n$. Then if D is an effective divisor on C_k of degree $2g - 2 + \lceil \frac{2g-1}{p} \rceil$ whose support is contained in that of D_0 , we can find a section $\sigma : U_k \rightarrow \mathcal{F}U$ of ρ_U with coordinate functions (x_{ij}) such that, in $W_l(B_0)$:*

$$(0, x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,l-1}) = \sum_{d=1}^{l-1} p^{d-1} V \left(X_i^{(d)} - (F x_i) X_0^{(d)} \right), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

with $X_i^{(d)} = (x_{i,d}^{(d)}, \dots, x_{i,l-1}^{(d)}, 0, \dots, 0)$ satisfying $x_{i,j}^{(d)} \in L(p^{j-d+1}d(D + D_0))$.

We need a lemma :

Lemma 2.2. *Let $d, e \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and $E = dD + (d-1)D_0$. If $R \in \Gamma(U_k, F^{*e} \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n/C_k}^n)$ is a global section of the sheaf $F^{*e}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n/C_k}^n \otimes \mathcal{L}(E))$, there exists a global section η of $F^{*e}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \otimes \mathcal{L}(E))$ whose image is R by the map :*

$$\Gamma(C_k, F^{*e}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \otimes \mathcal{L}(E))) \rightarrow \Gamma(C_k, F^{*e}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n/C_k}^n \otimes \mathcal{L}(E))),$$

and whose restriction :

$$(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) \in \Gamma(U_k, F^{*e}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \otimes \mathcal{L}(E))) = B_0^n$$

can be written :

$$\eta_i = E_i - x_i^{p^e} E_0, \quad E_i \in L(p^e(E + D_0)), \quad 0 \leq i \leq n.$$

Proof. Consider the normal/tangent exact sequence of C_k in $\mathbb{P}_k^n$:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{C_k} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_k} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n/C_k} \longrightarrow 0$$

If we tensor it by $\mathcal{L}(E)$, then take its pull-back by the e -th power of the absolute Frobenius morphism, the sequence remains exact. Now by the assumption on D , the first sheaf is invertible of degree greater than $2g - 1$, and its first cohomology group vanishes from Riemann-Roch theorem. Hence the sequence remains exact when we apply the global section functor. From this we deduce the existence of η .

Consider now the pull-back of Euler's exact sequence to C_k :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_k} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_k}(1)^{n+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_k} \longrightarrow 0$$

Remark that $\mathcal{O}_{C_k}(1) \simeq \mathcal{L}(D_0)$ and apply the same operations as above. Again, the sequence remains exact when we take global sections, and we obtain a preimage for η :

$$E = (E_0, \dots, E_n) \in \Gamma(F^{*e} \mathcal{L}(D_0 + E))^{n+1} = L(p^e(D_0 + E))^{n+1}.$$

For the last assertion, we just have to apply the (exact) functor $\Gamma(U_k, \cdot)$ to the last exact sequence ; we get :

$$\Gamma(U_k, F^{*e} \mathcal{L}(D_0 + E))^{n+1} = (B_0^{*e})^{n+1}$$

$$\Gamma(U_k, F^{*e}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n} \otimes \mathcal{L}(E))) = (B_0^{*e})^n$$

(where B_0^{*e} means the B_0 -module B_0 acting on itself via the e -th power of the Frobenius morphism), and the morphism from the first of these B_0 -modules to the second is :

$$(b_0, \dots, b_n) \mapsto (b_1 - x_1^{p^e} b_0, \dots, b_n - x_n^{p^e} b_0),$$

This completes the proof of lemma 2.2. $\square$

Proof. (of theorem 2.1.)

Let us begin by the case $l = 2$. Consider the morphism :

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1 & : R[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow W_2(B_0) \\ x_i & \mapsto (x_i, 0) \end{aligned}$$

Since ϕ_1 induces reduction modulo p on the first coordinate, we see that $\phi_{1|I}$ induces a B -module homomorphism $S_1 : I/pI \rightarrow V(B_0) \subset W_2(B_0)$ such that $(I/pI)^2 \subset \text{Ker} S_1$. Note that since I is the kernel of $R[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow B$, it is a flat R -module ; thus $I/pI = I_0$, and we get a morphism :

$$R_1 : I_0/(I_0)^2 \rightarrow V(B_0) \simeq B_0^*,$$

where B_0^* is the B_0 -module described in the proof of the lemma. It is therefore natural to consider R_1 as a section of $\Gamma(U_k, F^* \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n / C_k}^n)$. Now if $\tilde{f}_i$ is the image of $\bar{f}_i$ in $I_0/(I_0)^2$, then $f_t^{(1)}(x_i) = R_1(\tilde{f}_t)$, and solving the equations (in B_0) :

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{f}_t}{\partial x_j} \right)^p x_{1j} + f_t^{(1)}(x_j) = 0 \quad 1 \leq t \leq m$$

boils down to finding a preimage of $-R_1$ in $\Gamma(U_k, F^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_k}))$ by the map :

$$\Gamma(U_k, F^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_k})) \rightarrow \Gamma(U_k, F^* \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n / C_k}^n),$$

which is the morphism of B_0^* -modules induced by the transpose of the Jacobian matrix of U_k in $\mathbb{A}_k^n$. Let us show the :

Claim 1. R_1 is a global section of $F^* \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n / C_k}^n$.

It is sufficient to show that $R_1 \in (F^* \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n / C_k}^n)_P$ for all P not in U_k since $R_1 \in \Gamma(U_k, F^* \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n / C_k}^n)$. Let P be such a point, and assume it lies away from the hyperplane $\{X_n = 0\}$. By the Jacobian criterion of smoothness, the $\mathcal{O}_{C_k, P}$ -module $(I_0/I_0^2)_P$ is generated by $n-1$ functions :

$$\frac{\bar{F}_1}{X_n^{d_1}} = \frac{\tilde{f}_1}{x_n^{d_1}}, \dots, \frac{\bar{F}_{n-1}}{X_n^{d_{n-1}}} = \frac{\widetilde{f_{n-1}}}{x_n^{d_{n-1}}}, \quad d_i = \deg f_i.$$

Their images by R_1 are :

$$\frac{R_1 \tilde{f}_1}{x_n^{pd_1}}, \dots, \frac{R_1 \widetilde{f_{n-1}}}{x_n^{pd_{n-1}}};$$

by Witt vectors calculations we get $R_1 \tilde{f}_r = \frac{1}{p}(f_r(x_i)^p - f_r^p(x_i^p))$, and $\deg R_1 \tilde{f}_r \leq pd_r$. Finally the $\frac{R_1 \tilde{f}_r}{x_n^{pd_r}}$ are in $\mathcal{O}_{C_k, P}$, and $R_1 \in (F^* \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n / C_k}^n)_P$: this proves the claim.

Consequently, since D is an effective divisor with support contained in $C_k \setminus U_k$, we get that R_1 is also a global section of the sheaf $F^*(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n / C_k}^n \otimes \mathcal{L}(D))$. Now we can apply lemma 2.2 to $-R_1$ with $d = 1, e = 1$: we obtain functions $x_{i,1}^{(1)}$, $0 \leq i \leq n$ that are global sections of $\mathcal{L}(p(D + D_0))$, and theorem 2.1 is proved for $l = 2$.

We finish the proof by induction on l : suppose we have shown the result for $l-1$, and consider the morphism :

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{l-1} & : R[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow W_l(B_0) \\ x_i & \mapsto (x_i, 0, \dots, 0) + \sum_{k=1}^{l-2} p^{k-1} V(Y_i^{(k)} - (F x_i) Y_0^{(k)}) \end{aligned}$$

where $Y_i^{(k)} = (x_{i,k}^{(k)}, \dots, x_{i,l-2}^{(k)}, 0, \dots, 0)$ with the $x_{i,j}^{(k)}$ as in theorem 2.1 for $l-1$. As above, the morphism $\phi_{l-1|I}$ factors to a morphism of B_0 -modules :

$$R_{l-1} : I_0/I_0^2 \rightarrow V^{l-1}(B_0) \simeq B_0^{*l-1},$$

$R_{l-1}(\tilde{f}_t) = f_t^{(l-1)}(x_i, x_{ij})$: we look for a preimage. For this purpose we need to rewrite $f_t^{(l-1)}$ more precisely ; from the description of γ , we get :

$$\phi_{l-1}(f) = \delta(f) + \sum_{h=1}^{l-1} \sum_{\substack{|M|=h \\ \#J=\#M}} \delta \left(\frac{1}{M!} \frac{\partial^h f}{\partial x_J^M} \right) \prod_{j_i \in JM} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{l-2} p^{k-1} V(Y_{j_i}^{(k)} - Fx_{j_i} Y_0^{(k)}) \right),$$

where JM is the set of the indexes j_u in J , each one counted with the multiplicity m_u (note that JM contains h elements). Developing the last product yields the following general term :

$$p^{k_1+\dots+k_h-h} \prod_{j_i \in JM} V(Y_{j_i}^{(k_i)} - (Fx_{j_i})Y_0^{(k_i)}) = p^{k_1+\dots+k_h-1} V \left(\prod_{j_i \in JM} (Y_{j_i}^{(k_i)} - (Fx_{j_i})Y_0^{(k_i)}) \right)$$

Therefore we can write :

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{l-1}(f) &= R_{l-1}^{(1)}(f) + \sum_{d=2}^{l-1} p^{d-1} R_{l-1}^{(d)}(f), \\ R_{l-1}^{(1)}(f) &= \delta(f) + \sum_{i=1}^n \delta \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right) V(Y_i^{(1)} - (Fx_i)Y_0^{(1)}), \dots \\ R_{l-1}^{(d)}(f) &= \sum_{h=1}^d \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_h=d} V \left(\sum_{\substack{|M|=h \\ \#J=\#M}} F \delta \left(\frac{1}{M!} \frac{\partial^h f}{\partial x_J^M} \right) \prod_{j_i \in JM} (Y_{j_i}^{(k_i)} - (Fx_{j_i})Y_0^{(k_i)}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Now by the induction hypothesis, for $f \in I$ we have $R_{l-1}^{(d)}(f) \in V^{l-d}(B_0) \subset W_{l-d+1}(B_0)$ and as above, $R_{l-1}^{(d)}$ factors to a morphism from I_0/I_0^2 to $V^{l-d}(B_0) \simeq B_0^{*l-d}$; thus we write :

$$R_{l-1}^{(d)} \in \Gamma \left(U_k, F^{*l-d} \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n / C_k} \right).$$

Claim 2. $R_{l-1}^{(d)}$ is a global section of the sheaf $F^{*l-d}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n / C_k} \otimes \mathcal{L}(dD + (d-1)D_0))$.

As in the proof of claim 1, let $P \in C_k \setminus U_k(\bar{k})$ lying away from the hyperplane $\{X_n = 0\}$, and $f_r/x_n^{d_r}$, $1 \leq r \leq n-1$, a set of generators for the free $\mathcal{O}_{C_k, P}$ -module $(I_0/I_0^2)_P$; we can write $R_{l-1}^{(d)}(f_r/x_n^{d_r})$ as :

$$\frac{1}{x_n^{p^{l-d}d_r}} \sum_{h=1}^d \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_h=d} V \left(\sum_{\substack{|M|=h \\ \#J=\#M}} F \delta \left(\frac{1}{M!} \frac{\partial^h f_r}{\partial x_J^M} \right) \prod_{j_i \in JM} (Y_{j_i}^{(k_i)} - (Fx_{j_i})Y_0^{(k_i)}) \right).$$

When we develop the last product in the numerator, the terms corresponding to the systematic choice of $(Fx_{j_i})Y_0^{(k_i)}$ give :

$$Y_0^{(k_1)} \dots Y_0^{(k_h)} F \delta \left(\sum_{\substack{|M|=h \\ \#J=\#M}} \frac{1}{M!} \frac{\partial^h f_r}{\partial x_J^M} x_J^M \right),$$

with $1 \leq h \leq l-1$ and $k_1, \dots, k_h$ as above. But the function in the parentheses is the image in B_0 of a polynomial of degree less than $d_r - 1$ (its homogeneous part of degree d_r is a multiple of that of $f_r \in I$ by Euler's theorem). Denoting by v (resp. v_0) the multiplicity of D (resp. D_0) at P , and applying lemma 0.1, the u -th component of this term has valuation at P at least :

$$-p^{u+1}(k_1 + \dots + k_h)(v + v_0) - p^{u+1}(d_r - 1)v_0 = -p^{u+1}d_r v_0 - p^{u+1}(dv + (d-1)v_0).$$

The u -th components of the other terms of the numerator (where we choose at least once $Y_{j_i}^{(k_i)}$ in the development) have valuation at P at least :

$$-p^{u+1} \left((d_r - h)v_0 + \sum_{i=1}^h (k_i(v + v_0) + \epsilon_i v_0) \right) \geq -p^{u+1} d_r v_0 - p^{u+1} (dv + (d-1)v_0)$$

where $\epsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$ and at least one of the ϵ_i is zero (note that $\epsilon_i = 1$ corresponds to the choice of $(Fx_{j_i})Y_0^{(k_i)}$ rather than $Y_{j_i}^{(k_i)}$ in the product). Since the sum of these vectors is in $V^{l-d-1}(B_0)$, we write it $V^{l-d-1}(F_r)$, and we get $v_P(F_r) \geq -p^{l-d}(d_r v_0 + dv + (d-1)v_0)$. Finally, since the denominator $x_n^{p^{l-d}d_r}$ has valuation exactly $-p^{l-d}d_r v_0$, this ends the proof of the claim.

Applying lemma 2.2 with $e = l-d$, we deduce the existence of $x_{i,l-1}^{(d)} \in \mathcal{L}(p^{l-d}d(D + D_0))$, $0 \leq i \leq n$ such that $-R_{l-1}^{(d)}$ is the image of :

$$(x_{i,l-1}^{(d)} - x_i^{p^{l-d}} x_{0,l-1}^{(d)})_{1 \leq i \leq n} \in \Gamma(U_k, F^{*l-d}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n} \otimes \mathcal{L}(dD + (d-1)D_0)))$$

and setting :

$$X_i^{(d)} = Y_i^{(d)} + V^{l-1-d}(x_{i,l-1}^{(d)}, 0, \dots, 0) ; X_i^{(l-1)} = (x_{i,l-1}^{(l-1)}, 0, \dots, 0)$$

gives a Witt vector satisfying the hypotheses of theorem 2.1. Finally, the morphism defined by :

$$\phi_{l-1}(x_i) = (x_i, 0, \dots, 0) + \sum_{d=1}^{l-1} p^{d-1} V(X_i^{(d)} - (Fx_i)X_0^{(d)}),$$

vanishes on I from the preceding construction, and therefore defines a section of ρ_U . This completes the proof of theorem 2.1. $\square$

The following is an easy consequence of the theorem, and will be useful in the proof of Proposition 4.3.

Corollary 2.3. *The function x_{ij} is in $L(p^j(jD + (j+1)D_0))$.*

Remark : The degrees of the coordinate functions of the elliptic Teichmüller lift of an ordinary elliptic curve over a perfect field of characteristic $p \neq 2, 3$ have been obtained by Finotti in [2] ; the exact degrees are obtained by calculations from the equation $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$, and using the group structure.

3. EXPONENTIAL SUMS

The aim of this section is to recall bounds for exponential sums over a curve C_k , associated to an additive character of a Galois ring. We first describe Artin-Schreier-Witt theory, i.e. the theory of abelian p -extensions of a field of characteristic p , then we focus on algebraic function fields of one variable before giving the bound.

Let K be a field of characteristic p , fix K_s a separable closure of K , and let $G = \text{Gal}(K_s/K)$ be the absolute Galois group of K . We define the morphism $\wp = F - \text{Id}$ on $W_l(K_s)$. It is a G -morphism, surjective on $W_l(K_s)$, and we know from Galois theory that there is a one to one inclusion preserving correspondance between the collection of subgroups of $W_l(K)/\wp W_l(K)$ and the collection of abelian extensions of K of exponent a divisor of p^l .

If A is a subgroup of $W_l(K)/\wp W_l(K)$, let us denote by $K(\wp^{-1}A)$ the corresponding extension. In the sequel, we restrict our attention to the extensions $K(\wp^{-1} < f >) := K(\wp^{-1}f)$ where K is an algebraic function field of one variable, the function field of a curve C_k , with field of constants $k = \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$, and f an element of $W_l(K)$.

Definition. a) Let d be an integer, P be a place of K (a point of $C_k(\bar{k})$), and v the corresponding valuation. We define a subset $V_{d,P} \subset W_l(K)$ by

$$V_{d,P} = \{(a_0, \dots, a_{l-1}) \in W_l(K) / \forall i \in \{0, \dots, l-1\}, p^{l-1-i}v(a_i) \geq d\}.$$

b) If $f \in W_l(K)$, we define the valuation of f at P by $V_P(f) = \max\{d \in \mathbb{Z}, f \in V_{d,P}\}$, and the reduced pole order of f at P by :

$$\text{rp}_P(f) = \min\{d \geq -1, f \in V_{-d,P} + \wp W_l(K)\}.$$

If a, b are in $W_l(K)$, we have $\text{rp}_P(a+b) \leq \max(\text{rp}_P(a), \text{rp}_P(b))$, with equality if $\text{rp}_P(a) \neq \text{rp}_P(b)$; moreover, if $0 \leq k \leq l-1$, we have : $p^k \text{rp}_P(V^k a) \leq \text{rp}_P(a)$.

At a fixed place P , we can always reduce a Witt vector modulo $\wp W_l(K)$ in such a way that none of its components has its valuation divisible by p : if f is in $W_l(K)$, there exists $f' = (f'_0, \dots, f'_{l-1}) \in W_l(K)$ such that :

$$i) f \equiv f'[\wp W_l(K)] ; \quad ii) v_P(f'_i) \geq 0 \text{ or } (v_P(f'_i), p) = 1, \quad 0 \leq i \leq l-1.$$

This is the equivalent of Artin's algorithm to compute reduced pole orders in the case $l = 1$ (cf [5] Proposition 1.8) ; if $\text{rp}_P(f) > 0$, we have :

$$\text{rp}_P(f) = \max_{0 \leq i \leq l-1} \{-p^{l-1-i}v_P(f'_i)\}.$$

As in the Artin-Schreier case, the extension $K(\wp^{-1}f)$, $f = (f_0, \dots, f_{l-1})$ is of degree p^l , and its constant field is k , if and only if $f_0 \notin k + \wp_0 K$, where $\wp_0$ is the map $g \mapsto g^p - g$ from K to K . We say that f is *nondegenerate*. In this case we have the following estimate for the genus of $L = K(\wp^{-1}f)$:

$$2(g_L - 1) = 2p^l(g_K - 1) + \sum_{n=1}^{p^l-1} \sum_{P \in \mathbb{P}(nf)} (\text{rp}_P(nf) + 1) \deg P,$$

where $\mathbb{P}(f) = \{P \in C_k(\bar{k}), \text{rp}_P(f) > 0\}$.

We come to exponential sums. First we define a local symbol attached to the extension $K(\wp^{-1}f)/K$. We describe it explicitly from its ghost components (cf. [11]) : if $g \in K_P$, the completion of K at P , $(f, g)_P$ is the element of $W_l(k(P))$, $k(P)$ the residue field of C_k at P , whose ghost components are :

$$\text{Res}_P(f_0 \frac{dg}{g}), \dots, \text{Res}_P((f_0^{p^{l-1}} + \dots + p^{l-1}f_{l-1}) \frac{dg}{g}).$$

From an additive character of $W_l(k)$, ψ , we can define an idele class character : if $g = (g_P)_{P \in C_k(\bar{k})}$ is an idele, we set :

$$\chi(g) = \prod_{P \in C_k(\bar{k})} \psi(\text{Tr}_{W_l(k(P))/W_l(k)}(f, g_P)_P).$$

Its conductor is given by :

$$D_\chi = \sum_{P \in \mathbb{P}(f)} (\text{rp}_P(f) + 1)P,$$

and we associate to this character a L -function :

$$L_{K,\chi}(T) = \prod_{P \in C_k(\bar{k}) \setminus \mathbb{P}(f)} \frac{1}{1 - \psi(\text{Tr}_{W_l(k(P))/W_l(k)}(f, \pi_P)_P) T^{\deg P}},$$

with π_P a local parameter at P . This L -function is actually a polynomial of degree $\deg D_\chi + 2g_K - 2$, and the Riemann-Weil hypothesis for function fields allows us to give a bound for the following exponential sums, which are the coefficients of its development in power series :

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f \in W_l(K)$ be a nondegenerate vector. We have :*

$$\left| \sum_{P \in C_k(k_d) \setminus \mathbb{P}(f)} \psi(\text{Tr}_{W_l(k_d)/W_l(k)} f(P)) \right| \leq \left(2(g_K - 1) + \sum_{P \in \mathbb{P}(f)} (\text{rp}_P(f) + 1) \deg P \right) p^{\frac{md}{2}}.$$

4. ESTIMATION OF REDUCED POLE ORDERS

In the sequel, $U = \text{Spec} B$ is an affine open of a smooth projective R -curve C , $\mathcal{T}_\sigma$ is the Teichmüller subset associated to a section $\sigma : U_k \rightarrow \mathcal{F}U$ satisfying theorem 2.1, and $\Gamma(s) : B \rightarrow W_l(B_0)$ is the morphism of R -algebras associated to the morphism $s : WU_k \rightarrow U$ corresponding to σ . From theorems 1.2 and 3.1, in order to give a bound for the exponential sum associated to a function $f \in B$ over the Teichmüller subset $\mathcal{T}_\sigma$, we have to estimate the reduced pole orders of the vector $\Gamma(s)(f)$ from the pole orders of f . We need to define what is the pole order of a function on C , a curve over R , at a point Π of $C(R)$; this will be done from the expansion of f in powers of local parameters, which are defined in section 4.1. Then we construct the morphism S extending $\Gamma(s)$ to the (constant) sheaf of total quotient rings of $\mathcal{O}_C$ in section 4.2 ; finally we estimate reduced pole orders of the Witt vectors $S(t^i)$, where t is a local parameter at a pole of f , and we obtain the reduced pole order of $\Gamma(s)(f)$ from its expansion in powers of t in section 4.3.

4.1. Local parameters. Let C be an irreducible, geometrically connected smooth of relative dimension 1 scheme C over $\text{Spec}(R)$, equipped with an embedding $C \subset \mathbb{P}_R^n$. Let $C_k := C \times_{\text{Spec } R} \text{Spec } k$ be the “reduction modulo p ” of C ; it is a smooth irreducible projective curve over $\text{Spec } k$. Note that, for any $d \geq 1$, points of $C(k_d)$ are in one to one correspondance with points of $C_k(k_d)$. We identify these two sets in the sequel.

We denote by K_C the sheaf of total quotient rings of $\mathcal{O}_C$; recall from [10] that it is a constant sheaf, whose associated ring we also denote by K_C .

Let us denote by $C(R_d)$ the set of R -morphisms $\Pi : \text{Spec } R_d \rightarrow C$; we call such a morphism an R_d -point of C . The morphism $\text{Spec } k_d \rightarrow \text{Spec } R_d$ induced by the reduction modulo p associates to every R_d -point Π an element $P \in C(k_d)$; we get a map $r_C : C(R_d) \rightarrow C(k_d) = C_k(k_d)$. We say : “ Π is an R_d -point above P ”.

The ideal sheaf associated to an R_d -point Π of C (considered as a subscheme of C) is locally principal [10] thus there exists an element $t \in \mathcal{O}_{C,P}$ such that the kernel of the morphism $\Pi : \mathcal{O}_{C,P} \rightarrow R_d$ is the principal ideal $(t) \subset \mathcal{O}_{C,P}$. We call such an element a *local parameter* for C at Π . If m_P denotes the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{C,P}$, we have the following properties for a local parameter t (cf. [10]) :

- i) t is not a zero divisor in $\mathcal{O}_{C,P}$;
- ii) $t \in m_P \setminus m_P^2$;
- iii) $\mathcal{O}_{C,P}/(t^n)$ is a free R_d -module with basis $1, t, t^2, \dots, t^{n-1}$;
- iv) the quotient ring of $\mathcal{O}_{C,P}$, K_C , is an $\mathcal{O}_{C,P}$ -module generated by $1, t^{-1}, \dots$.

In the sequel we need to know local parameters for R_d -points explicitly : this is the aim of the next proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}_R^n = \text{Proj } R[X_0, \dots, X_n]$ be a smooth projective curve over R , and P be a point of $C(k_d)$, not lying in the hyperplane $\{X_n = 0\}$. Then there exists an R_d -point Π above P whose local parameter is the image in K_C of the function :*

$$t = \pi_d\left(\frac{X_i}{X_n}\right),$$

where $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$, and π_d is an irreducible polynomial of degree d' dividing d , with all its roots in $\mathcal{T}_{d'}$.

Proof. This is a local question, so we restrict our attention to the open $U_0 = \text{Spec} R[x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}] \subset \mathbb{P}_R^n$ (with $x_i = X_i/X_n$) containing P . Let $C \cap U_0 = U = \text{Spec} R[x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}]/I_0$, where $I_0 = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ is the ideal defining $U \subset U_0$. By the Jacobian criterion of smoothness applied to U at P , we get $n-1$ functions among the f_i , $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $n-1$ indexes in $\{0, \dots, n-1\}$. Let i be the last element of this set, and π_d the polynomial with roots in $\mathcal{T}_d$, whose reduction modulo p is the minimal polynomial of the i -th coordinate of any one of the d points P_d in $\mathbb{P}_{R_d}^n(k_d)$ corresponding to P . Consider the closed subscheme $S \subset U_0$ defined by the ideal $(f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, \pi_d(x_i))$. Thus P is an element of $S(k_d)$, and the Jacobian criterion ensures us that S is smooth over $\text{Spec} R$ at P , of relative dimension 0. We deduce that $\mathcal{O}_{S,P}$ is a local ring of dimension 0, and a flat R -module (hence free since R is a local ring), with maximal ideal (p) and residue field k_d . Therefore we must have $\mathcal{O}_{S,P} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{C,P}/(\pi_d(x_i))_P \simeq R_d$, and the proposition follows. $\square$

There is an other description of R_d -points, in term of Cartier divisors (cf [10]) : let Π be an R_d -point of C , above $P \in C(k_d)$, and t_d a local parameter for C at Π . We associate to Π the Cartier divisor (Π) defined by : $(\Pi) = ((U, t_d), (V, 1))$, where V is the open $C \setminus \{P\}$, and U an open containing P . We denote by $\mathcal{O}_C(\Pi)$ the invertible sheaf corresponding to this Cartier divisor.

4.2. The morphism S . We will work with expansions of f at its poles, in terms of the local parameters obtained in proposition 1.1. Since these parameters are not in B , we first show that the morphism $\Gamma(s)$ extends to a morphism $S : K_C \rightarrow W_l(K(C_k))$ with K_C as in section 1, and $K(C_k)$ the function field of the curve C_k .

Note from the definition of K_C that we have $K_C = i(U)^{-1}\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_C) = i(B)^{-1}B$, where $i(B)$ is the set of elements of B which are not zero divisors in any of the local rings $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$. These are just the elements of $B \setminus pB$ (since $\text{Spec} B \rightarrow \text{Spec} R$ is smooth, the zero divisors must be nilpotent), and we get $B_{(p)} = K_C$. If $g \in B \setminus pB$, $\Gamma(s)(g)$ is an element of $W_l(B_0)$ with nonzero first coordinate, thus invertible in $W_l(K(C_k))$. We now define S on K_C by

$$S(fg^{-1}) = \Gamma(s)(f) (\Gamma(s)(g))^{-1}, \quad f \in B, \quad g \in B \setminus pB.$$

Moreover, if f, g are the images of $f' \in R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $g' \in R[x_1, \dots, x_n] \setminus (p)$, we can extend δ to $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{(p)}$, and we can write again, in $W_l(K(C_k))$:

$$S\left(\frac{f}{g}\right) = \delta(f'/g') + \sum_{h=1}^{l-1} \sum_{\substack{|M|=h, \\ \#J=\#M}} \delta\left(\frac{1}{M!} \frac{\partial^h(f'/g')}{\partial x_J^M}\right) U_J^M.$$

4.3. Reduced pole orders. If $f \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_C)$ is a regular function on U , we have to estimate reduced pole orders of the function $S(f) = \Gamma(s)(f)$. We will proceed as follows : the components of the vector $\Gamma(s)(f)$ are in $B_0 = \Gamma(U_k, \mathcal{O}_{C_k})$, thus their only poles can occur at the points $\{P_1, \dots, P_r\}$ of $(C \cap \{X_0 = 0\})(\bar{k})$. In order to estimate these pole orders, we fix a local parameter t at each point P of $(C \cap \{X_0 = 0\})(\bar{k})$. From above, we can write (in K_C seen as the $\mathcal{O}_{C,P}$ -module generated by $1, t^{-1}, \dots$) :

$$f = a_{-n}t^{-n} + \dots + a_0 + \dots + a_{N-1}t^{N-1} + t^N h,$$

with the a_i in R_d and h in $\mathcal{O}_{C,P}$. Now we just have to estimate the reduced pole orders of the vectors $S(t^i)$, $S(t^N h)$; at the end of the section, we do so for the vectors $S(t^i)$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, but we first get rid of the last term, showing that for sufficiently large N , the vector $S(t^N h)$ is in $W_l(\mathcal{O}_{C_k,P})$ for each h in $\mathcal{O}_{C,P}$.

It is sufficient to show that for any h in $\mathcal{O}_{C,P}$, the components of $S(h)$ have bounded pole orders at P . Indeed, if $S(t) = (t_0, \dots, t_{l-1})$, the first component t_0 is a uniformizing parameter for C_k at P , and $v_P(t_0) = 1$. For this reason, if we take N sufficiently large, the vector $S(t^N h) = S(t)^N S(h)$ is in $W_l(\mathcal{O}_{C,P})$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let P be a point of C_k , $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As usual, v_P stands for the valuation at P . The set :*

$$\mathcal{R}_{n,P} = \{(f_0, \dots, f_{l-1}) \in W_l(K(C_k)), v_P(f_i) \geq -ip^i n\} \subset W_l(K(C_k))$$

is a ring. Moreover, the elements of $\mathcal{R}_{n,P}$ with $v_P(f_0) = 0$ are units of this ring.

Proof. The first assertion is straightforward after lemma 0.1. If $f = (f_0, \dots, f_{l-1})$ is in $\mathcal{R}_{n,P}$ with $v_P(f_0) = 0$, let $g = (g_0, \dots, g_{l-1}) = f^{-1}$; we have :

$$g = (f_0^{-1}, 0, \dots, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} (-1)^i V^i (F^i(f_0^{-i-1}, 0, \dots, 0) F^{i-1}(f_1, \dots, f_{l-1}, 0)^i).$$

Since f_0 has valuation 0, we just have to evaluate the valuations of the other terms. Set $(h_0^{(i)}, \dots, h_{l-1}^{(i)}) := (f_1, \dots, f_{l-1}, 0)^i$. We have $v_P(h_j^{(1)}) \geq -(j+1)p^{j+1}n$, and an easy induction on i , with the help of lemma 0.1 gives $v_P(h_j^{(i)}) \geq -(j+i)p^{j+i}n$. If $(k_0^{(i)}, \dots, k_{l-1}^{(i)}) = F^{i-1}(f_1, \dots, f_{l-1}, 0)^i$, we get $v_P(k_j^{(i)}) \geq -(j+i)p^{j+i}n$. Since this function appears in the $(i+j)$ -th component of g , we get $v_P(g_i) \geq -ip^i n$, and finally $g \in \mathcal{R}_{n,P}$. $\square$

We are ready to show the following proposition :

Proposition 4.3. *Let D and D_0 be the divisors defined in theorem 2.1, P a closed point of $C \setminus U$. If v and v_0 stand for the multiplicities of D and D_0 at P , then $S(\mathcal{O}_{C,P}) \subset \mathcal{R}_{v+2v_0,P}$.*

Proof. Let $P \in \mathbb{P}^n(\bar{k})$, $P \in C(\bar{k}) \setminus U(\bar{k})$, and assume P has invertible n -th coordinate, that is P is in $U_n(\bar{k}) = C \cap \{X_n \neq 0\}(\bar{k})$. Then the regular functions on U_n are the images of the elements of :

$$R[\frac{X_0}{X_n}, \dots, \frac{X_{n-1}}{X_n}] = R[\frac{1}{x_n}, \dots, \frac{x_{n-1}}{x_n}].$$

Moreover, the elements of $\mathcal{O}_{C,P}$ are the images of the elements of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n,P}$, that is the fg^{-1} , $f, g \in R[\frac{1}{x_n}, \dots, \frac{x_{n-1}}{x_n}]$, $\bar{g}(P) \neq 0$ in $\bar{k}$. Note that this last condition is equivalent to asking that the 0-th component of $S(g)$ has valuation zero at P .

Thus if we show that $S(\frac{1}{x_n}), \dots, S(\frac{x_{n-1}}{x_n})$ are in $\mathcal{R}_{v+2v_0,P}$, the first part of lemma 4.2 ensures us that $S(B_n) \subset \mathcal{R}_{v+2v_0,P}$, and the second part, joint with the preceding discussion, that $S(\mathcal{O}_{C,P}) \subset \mathcal{R}_{v+2v_0,P}$.

From the description of S , we have :

$$\begin{aligned} S(\frac{x_i}{x_n}) &= \delta(\frac{x_i}{x_n}) + \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} (-1)^k \delta(x_i x_n^{-k-1}) (0, x_{n,1}, \dots, x_{n,l-1})^k \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} (-1)^{k-1} \delta(x_n^{-k}) (0, x_{n,1}, \dots, x_{n,l-1})^{k-1} (0, x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,l-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Now the terms $\delta(\frac{x_i}{x_n})$, $\delta(x_i x_n^{-k-1})$ and $\delta(x_n^{-k})$ are in $W_l(\mathcal{O}_{C_k, P}) \subset \mathcal{R}_{v+2v_0, P}$; from corollary 2.3, the terms $(0, x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,l-1})$ are in $\mathcal{R}_{v+2v_0, P}$, and we are done. $\square$

Now we estimate the reduced pole orders of the vectors $S(t^m)$; we first treat the case $P \in C(k)$; let $t = x_i/x_n - \alpha$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}$ be a local parameter for an R -point above P as in proposition 4.1. From the description of S , we have :

$$\begin{aligned} S(t) &= (t_0, \dots, t_{l-1}) \\ &= \delta\left(\frac{x_i}{x_n} - \alpha\right) + \sum_{h=1}^{l-1} (-1)^h \delta(x_i x_n^{-h-1})(0, x_{n,1}, \dots, x_{n,l-1})^h \\ &\quad + \sum_{h=1}^{l-1} (-1)^{h-1} \delta(x_n^{-h})(0, x_{n,1}, \dots, x_{n,l-1})^{h-1} (0, x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,l-1}). \end{aligned}$$

From theorem 2.1, we have :

$$(0, x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,l-1}) = \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} p^{k-1} V \left(X_i^{(k)} - (F x_i) X_0^{(k)} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} V^k \left(F^{k-1} X_i^{(k)} - (F^k x_i) F^{k-1} X_0^{(k)} \right)$$

When we develop the h -th and $(h-1)$ -th power in the expression for $S(t)$, we get that the general term of the first and second sum are respectively :

$$\begin{aligned} &(-1)^h V^{j_1+\dots+j_h} \left(F^{j_1+\dots+j_h} (x_i x_n^{-h-1} x_n^a) F^{j_1+\dots+j_h-1} \left(\prod_{\#\{j_i\}=a} X_n^{(j_i)} \prod_{\#\{j_i\}=a} X_0^{(j_i)} \right) \right); \\ &(-1)^{h-1} V^{j_1+\dots+j_h} \left(F^{j_1+\dots+j_h} (x_i^\epsilon x_n^{-h} x_n^{a-\epsilon}) F^{j_1+\dots+j_h-1} \left(\prod_{\#\{j_i\}=a} X_n^{(j_i)} X_i^{(j_i)^\epsilon} \prod_{\#\{j_i\}=a} X_0^{(j_i)} \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq a \leq h$ is the number of times we choose the term $(F x_n) X_0^{(j)}$ or $(F x_i) X_0^{(j)}$ in the development, and ϵ is 0 or 1 depending on whether we choose $F^{k-1} X_i^{(j)}$ or $(F^k x_i) F^{k-1} X_0^{(j)}$ in the last parenthesis. Note that the terms with $a = h$ and $\epsilon = 1$ are in one-to-one correspondance in the two sums, with coefficient $(-1)^h$ in the first and $(-1)^{h-1}$ in the second. Thus their sum vanishes and we can impose $a \leq h-1$. Now the terms of the two sums can be treated similarly regarding to their valuation; we choose to write the general term of $S(t)$ as :

$$V^J (F^J (x_i x_n^{-h-1} x_n^a, 0, \dots, 0) F^{J-1} (\prod_{i=1}^h X_*^{(j_i)})), \quad J = j_1 + \dots + j_h \geq h, \quad a < h.$$

Remark that $\delta(t) = (x_i x_n^{-1}, 0, \dots, 0) - (a, 0, \dots, 0) := (t_0, f_1, \dots, f_{l-1})$ is in $W_l(\mathcal{O}_{C_k, P})$, and that $t_0 = x_i x_n^{-1} - a$ is a local parameter for C_k at P , thus $v_P(t_0) = 1$. We can now write the image of t^m :

$$S(t^m) = (t_0^m, 0, \dots, 0) + \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} (-1)^k c_{m,k} (t_0^{m-k}, 0, \dots, 0) (0, t_1, \dots, t_{l-1})^k,$$

where $c_{m,k} = \binom{-m+k-1}{k}$ if $m < 0$, and $c_{m,k} = \binom{m}{k}$ if $m > 0$. From above, we can write the general term of $(0, t_1, \dots, t_{l-1})^k$ as :

$$(0, f_1, \dots, f_{l-1})^{k_0} \left(\prod_{u=1}^t \left(V^{J_u} (F^{J_u} (x_i x_n^{a_u - h_u - 1}) F^{J_u - 1} (\prod_{i_u=1}^{h_u} X_*^{(j_{i_u})}))) \right)^{k_u} \right),$$

where $k_0 + \dots + k_t = k$. Setting $K = k_0 + \sum_{u=1}^t k_u J_u$, we get :

$$V^K \left(F^K (t_0^{m-k} \prod_{u=1}^t (x_i x_n^{a_u - h_u - 1})^{k_u}) F^{K-1} \left(\prod_{u=1}^t (\prod_i X_*^{j_{i_u}})^{k_u} (f_1, \dots, f_{l-1})^{k_0} \right) \right).$$

which is congruent modulo $\wp W_l(K(C_k))$ to :

$$V^K \left(F(t_0^{m-k} \prod_{u=1}^t (x_i x_n^{a_u - h_u - 1})^{k_u}) \prod_{u=1}^t (\prod_i X_*^{j_{i_u}})^{k_u} (f_1, \dots, f_{l-1})^{k_0} \right).$$

We want to evaluate the reduced pole order of this Witt vector. First set $(g_0, \dots, g_{l-1}) = \prod_{u=1}^t (\prod_i X_*^{j_{i_u}})^{k_u}$; from lemma 0.1, we get that $v_P(g_i) \geq -p^{i+1}(K - k_0)(v + v_0)$ since $\sum k_u \sum j_{i_u} = K - k_0$.

Next consider the term $H = F(t_0^{m-k} \prod_{u=1}^t (x_i x_n^{a_u - h_u - 1})^{k_u})$; since $v(x_n) = -v_0$ and $v(x_i) \geq -v_0$, we have $v_P(H) \geq p(m - k - \sum k_u(a_u - h_u)v_0) \geq p(m - k + (k - k_0)v_0)$ since $a_u \leq h_u - 1$.

Setting $(h_0, \dots, h_{l-1}) = H(g_0, \dots, g_{l-1})(f_1, \dots, f_{l-1}, 0)^{k_0}$, and remarking that the last vector has no incidence on our estimation, we get : $v_P(h_i) \geq p^{i+1}(m - k + (k - k_0)v_0 - (K - k_0)(v + v_0))$, and :

$$\text{rp}_P(V^K(h_0, \dots, h_{l-1})) \leq -p^{l-K}(m - k + (k - k_0)v_0 - (K - k_0)(v + v_0)).$$

Finally, since the 0-th component of $S(t^m)$ is t_0^m , we get $\text{rp}_P(S(t^m)) \leq \max(-p^{l-1}m, M)$, where :

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \max_{1 \leq k \leq K \leq l-1 ; 0 \leq k_0 \leq k} (-p^{l-K}(m - k + (k - k_0)v_0 - (K - k_0)(v + v_0))) \\ &= \max_{1 \leq k \leq K \leq l-1 ; 0 \leq k_0 \leq k} (-p^{l-K}(m - k + kv_0 - K(v + v_0) + k_0v)) \end{aligned}$$

Since D is effective, we have $v \geq 0$, and we have to take $k_0 = 0$. Now if we fix K and let k vary between 1 and K , the maximum is obtained for $k = 1$, and we get $M = \max_{1 \leq K \leq l-1} M_K$, $M_K = -p^{l-K}(m - 1 + v_0 - K(v + v_0))$. Assume $m < 0$; computing the $M_K - M_{K+1}$, we get the maximum for $K = 1$ or $K = 2$, depending on whether $(1 - p)(m - 1) + v(p - 2) - v_0$ is positive or negative, that is :

Lemma 4.4. *Notations being as above, let $m < 0$; we have :*

$$\text{rp}_P(S(t^m)) \leq \max(p^{l-1}(-m + 1 + v), p^{l-2}(-m + 1 + v_0 + 2v)),$$

and the first term is the maximum if $(1 - p)(m - 1) + v(p - 2) - v_0$ is positive.

In the case $m > 0$, we don't need to get results as precise as before : it is sufficient to remark that $\text{rp}_P(S(t^m)) \leq \text{rp}_P(S(t))$ here ; by the same calculations as above, we obtain $\text{rp}_P(S(t)) \leq \max(p^{l-1}v, p^{l-2}(v_0 + 2v))$.

We drop the assumption $P \in C(k)$: assume now $P \in C(k_d)$ (i.e. P is a point of degree d of the curve C_k , via the identification between $C(\bar{k})$ and $C_k(\bar{k})$). Let $t = \pi_d(x_i/x_n)$ be a local parameter for an R_d -point above P as in proposition 4.1, and $f \in t^{-n}\mathcal{O}_{C,P}$.

Consider the curve $C_d := C \times_{\text{Spec } R} \text{Spec } R_d$, its reduction $C_{k_d} := C_d \otimes k_d$, and let P_d be one of the d points in $C_d(k_d)$ dividing P . In $K_{C_d} = K_C \otimes R_d$, we write :

$$\pi_d\left(\frac{x_i}{x_n}\right) = \prod_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(R_{d'}/R)} \left(\frac{x_i}{x_n} - \alpha^\sigma\right),$$

for some d' dividing d . Exactly one of the $t_d^\sigma = \frac{x_i}{x_n} - \alpha^\sigma$ (say $t_d = \frac{x_i}{x_n} - \alpha$) is a local parameter for an R_d -point above P_d , and the others are elements of $\mathcal{O}_{C_d, P_d}^*$. Therefore we have $f \in t_d^{-n}\mathcal{O}_{C_d, P_d}$ if we consider f as an element of $K_{C_d} = K_C \otimes R_d$.

Now it is easy to verify that the morphism S extends to $S_d : K_{C_d} \rightarrow W_l(K(C_{k_d}))$ (it is sufficient to extend S on the constants, i.e. as the Witt isomorphism from R_d to $W_l(k_d)$). If we apply lemma 4.4 to C_d and P_d (t_d is exactly the local parameter given by proposition 4.1 for C_d at P_d since $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_d$), we get $\text{rp}_{P_d}(S_d(t^m)) \leq \max(p^{l-1}(-m+1+v), p^{l-2}(-m+1+v_0+2v))$. Now the following lemma generalizes lemma 4.4 to points of arbitrary degree :

Lemma 4.5. *Let $P \in \mathbb{P}(K)$ a place of degree d and P_1 be a place dividing P in $\mathbb{P}(K \otimes k_d)$. If $f \in W_l(K)$ is such that $\text{rp}_{P_1}(f) = a > 0$ (in $W_l(K \otimes k_d)$), then $\text{rp}_P(f) = a$ (in $W_l(K)$).*

Finally, if we write $f = a_{-n}t^{-n} + \dots + a_{N-1}t^{N-1} + t^N g$, $g \in \mathcal{O}_{C,P}$, the preceding results give the :

Proposition 4.6. *Let $P \in C(\bar{k}) \setminus U(\bar{k})$, and t a local parameter at P as in proposition 4.1 ; if $f \in t^{-n}\mathcal{O}_{C,P}$, $n > 0$, we have :*

$$\text{rp}_P(S(f)) \leq \max(p^{l-1}(n+1+v), p^{l-2}(n+1+v_0+2v)).$$

If $f \in \mathcal{O}_{C,P}$, we get $\text{rp}_P(S(f)) \leq \max(p^{l-1}v, p^{l-2}(v_0+2v))$.

Remark. In the case of projective line, if we define the morphism $S : R(x) \rightarrow W_l(k(x))$ as w_l on R and $S(x) = (x, 0, \dots, 0)$, the example following lemma 1.1, joint with theorem 1.2 show that we are estimating the same character sums as in [6] section 4 ; the calculations we did in this section, joint with the estimation of the degree of the idele class character in section 3, give theorem 4.1 of [6] and its corollaries.

5. BOUNDS

We now come to the estimation of the exponential sums ; let $C \subset \mathbb{P}_R^n$ be a smooth projective curve over $\text{Spec} R$ with $C_k = C \otimes k$ of genus g , H_0 the hyperplane $\{X_0 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}_R^n$, and U the affine open $C \cap \{X_0 \neq 0\}$. Denote by $P_1, \dots, P_r$ the points of $(C \cap H_0)(\bar{k})$; let $t_i = \pi_i(x_j x_n^{-1})$ be a local parameter at Π_i an R_d -point above P_i ($1 \leq i \leq r$) as in proposition 4.1, and $\mathcal{O}_C((\Pi_i))$ the associated invertible sheaf. Denote by D_0 the intersection divisor of H_0 with C_k in $\mathbb{P}_k^n$, and by v_{0i} its multiplicity at P_i . Let D be a divisor of degree $2g - 2 + \lceil \frac{2g-1}{p} \rceil$, whose support is contained in that of D_0 , and v_i its multiplicity at P_i .

Theorem 5.1. *There exists a subset $\mathcal{T}_\sigma \subset U(R)$ which is the image of a section of the map $r_U : U(R) \rightarrow U_k(k)$, and such that for $f = f_0 + pf_1 + \dots + p^{l-1}f_{l-1} \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_C)$ a nondegenerate function (that is $S(f)$ is a nondegenerate vector of functions) with $f_j \in \Gamma(C, \mathcal{O}_C(\sum_{i=1}^r n_{ij}(\Pi_i)))$, we have the bound :*

$$\sum_{\Pi \in \mathcal{T}_\sigma} \exp\left(\frac{2i\pi}{p^l} \text{Tr}(f(\Pi))\right) \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^r (A_i + 1) \deg P_i + 2g - 2\right) p^{\frac{m}{2}},$$

where :

$$A_i = \max\left(\max_{0 \leq j \leq l-2} (p^{l-1-j}(n_{ij} + 1 + v_i), p^{l-2-j}(n_{ij} + 1 + v_{0i} + 2v_i)), n_{i,l-1}\right).$$

Proof. First choose for $\mathcal{T}_\sigma$ the Teichmüller subset corresponding to a section σ satisfying the requirements of theorem 2.1. By the definition of the Cartier divisors (Π_i) , we must have :

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, r\}, j \in \{0, \dots, l-1\}, f_j \in t_i^{-n_{i,j}} \mathcal{O}_{C,P_i}.$$

We will get the result from theorems 1.2 and 3.1, once we have estimated reduced pole orders of the Witt vector $\Gamma(s)(f)$; first note that :

$$\Gamma(s)(f) \equiv \Gamma(s)(f_0) + V\Gamma(s)(f_1) + \cdots + V^{l-1}\Gamma(s)(f_{l-1}) \quad [\wp W_l(K)]$$

Now applying proposition 4.6, we obtain :

$$\text{rp}_{P_i}(V^j\Gamma(s)(f_j)) \leq \max(p^{l-j-1}(n_{ij} + 1 + v_i), p^{l-j-2}(n_{ij} + 1 + v_{0i} + 2v_i)),$$

if $j \leq l-2$; for $j = l-1$, since $V^{l-1}\Gamma(s)(f_{l-1}) = (0, \dots, 0, \overline{f_{l-1}})$, the reduced pole order of this vector at P_i is at most $n_{i,l-1}$. $\square$

We write this result in the particular case $l = 2$: it includes the Galois ring $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ which concentrates most of the efforts of coding theorists. Moreover the result is simpler : looking back at the estimations of the reduced pole orders, the maximum is $p(-m + 1 + v_i)$ since we can only have $K = 1$. The equality

$$\sum_{i=1}^r v_i \deg P_i = 2g - 2 + \lceil \frac{2g-1}{p} \rceil$$

(this is the degree of the divisor D) allows us to give a bound depending only on the pole orders of the function and on the genus of the curve C_k :

Corollary 5.2. *Let $R = GR(p^2, m)$ be a Galois ring, and C a smooth curve over R , $C \subset \mathbb{P}_R^n$. We can find a subset $\mathcal{T}_\sigma \subset U(R)$ which is the image of a section of the map $r_U : U(R) \rightarrow U_k(k)$, and such that for $f \in \Gamma(C, \mathcal{O}_C(\sum n_i(\Pi_i)))$ a nondegenerate function, we have the bound :*

$$\sum_{\Pi \in \mathcal{T}_\sigma} \exp\left(\frac{2i\pi}{p^2} \text{Tr}(f(\Pi))\right) \leq B_f p^{\frac{m}{2}},$$

where

$$B_f = \sum_{i=1}^r (p(n_i + 1) + 1) \deg P_i + (2g - 2)(p + 1) + p \lceil \frac{2g-1}{p} \rceil.$$

It can be shown that, if $C_k \subset \mathbb{P}_k^n$ is a smooth curve, either a complete intersection or a canonical curve (that is, the image of a curve C of genus g by the canonical embedding $C \subset \mathbb{P}_k^{g-1}$), then it lifts to a smooth curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}_R^n$. In this case, the degree of the divisor D is greater than that of D_0 , we can choose $v_i \geq v_{0i}$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$; if moreover we assume $p \geq 3$, the maximum in proposition 4.6 is the first term, and as above the bound does not depend on the projective embedding :

Corollary 5.3. *Let C be the lift over $\text{Spec} R$ of either a canonical or a complete intersection curve. Assume $p \neq 2$. We can find a subset $\mathcal{T}_\sigma \subset U(R)$ which is the image of a section of the map $r_U : U(R) \rightarrow U_k(k)$, and such that for $f \in \Gamma(C, \mathcal{O}_C(\sum n_i(\Pi_i)))$ a nondegenerate function, we have the bound :*

$$\sum_{\Pi \in \mathcal{T}_\sigma} \exp\left(\frac{2i\pi}{p^l} \text{Tr}(f(\Pi))\right) \leq B_f p^{\frac{m}{2}},$$

where

$$B_f = \sum_{i=1}^r (p^{l-1}(n_i + 1) + 1) \deg P_i + (2g - 2)(p^{l-1} + 1) + p^{l-1} \lceil \frac{2g-1}{p} \rceil$$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Grothendieck, J. Dieudonné : *Eléments de Géométrie algébrique IV: Etude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas*, Publi. Math. de l'I.H.E.S. vol. **32** (1967).
- [2] L.R.A. Finotti : *Degrees of the elliptic Teichmüller lifts*, submitted for publication.
- [3] M.J. Greenberg : *Schemata over local rings*, Annals of Mathematics **73** (1961), 624-648.
- [4] P.V. Kumar, T. Helleseeth, A.R. Calderbank : *An upper bound for Weil exponential sums over Galois rings and applications*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **41** (1995), 456-468.
- [5] G. Lachaud : *Artin-Schreier curves, Exponential sums and the Carlitz-Uchiyama bound for geometric codes*, Journal of Number Theory **39** (1991), 18-40.
- [6] W.-C. W. Li, *Character sums over p-adic fields*, Journal of Number Theory **74** (1999) 181-229.
- [7] M. Raynaud : *Around the Mordell conjecture for function fields and a conjecture of Serge Lang*, in Algebraic geometry, Lecture notes in mathematics **1016**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1983), 1-19.
- [8] J.F. Voloch, J. Walker : *Euclidean weights of codes from elliptic curves over rings*, Trans. of the AMS. **352** (1999), 5063-5076.
- [9] J.F. Voloch, J. Walker : *Codes over rings from curves of higher genus*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **45** (1999), 1768-1776.
- [10] J. Walker : *Algebraic geometric codes over rings*, Journal of pure and applied Algebra **144** (1999), 91-110.
- [11] E. Witt : *Zyklische Körper und Algebren der Charakteristik p vom Grade p^m* , J. Reine Angew. Math. **176** (1936), 126-140.